

COHOMOLOGY OF SKEW-HOLOMORPHIC LIE ALGEBROIDS

UGO BRUZZO

Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati,
Via Beirut 2-4, 34013 Trieste, Italia;
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Trieste
E-mail: bruzzo@sissa.it

VLADIMIR RUBTSOV

Université d'Angers, Département de Mathématiques,
UFR Sciences, LAREMA, UMR 6093 du CNRS,
2 bd. Lavoisier, 49045 Angers Cedex 01, France;
ITEP Theoretical Division,
25, Bol. Tcheremushkinskaya str., 117259, Moscow, Russia
E-mail: Volodya.Roubtsov@univ-angers.fr

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of *skew-holomorphic Lie algebroid* on a complex manifold, and explore some cohomologies theories that one can associate to it. Examples are given in terms of holomorphic Poisson structures of various sorts.

Date: 6 March 2010, revised 19 July 2010

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32C35, 53D17, 55N30

Keywords: Holomorphic Lie algebroid, matching pair of Lie algebroids, Lie algebroid cohomology, Holomorphic Poisson cohomology

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support and hospitality during the respective visits to Université d'Angers and SISSA. Support for this work was also provided by MISGAM (Methods of Integrable Systems, Geometry, Applied Mathematics), by the INFN project PI14 “Nonperturbative dynamics of gauge theories”, the EINSTEIN Italo-Russian project “Integrability in topological string and field theory,” and the MATPYL Angers-SISSA project “Lie algebroids, equivariant cohomology, and topological quantum field and string theories.”

1. INTRODUCTION

The complex structure of a complex manifold X gives rise to a rich cohomological structure; one has the Dolbeault cohomology, the holomorphic de Rham cohomology, and these relate in a nontrivial way to the (usual) de Rham cohomology of X . A complex structure for X may be regarded as an integrable decomposition

$$T_X \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_X^{1,0} \oplus T_X^{0,1} \quad (1)$$

with the condition $\overline{T_X^{1,0}} = T_X^{0,1}$.

On the other hand one has the notion of Lie algebroid; loosely speaking (the precise definition is recalled below), one has a vector bundle morphism $a: A \rightarrow T_X$ with a lift of the Lie algebra structure on the sections of T_X to a Lie algebra structure on the sections of A . One can then think of lifting the decomposition (1) as well. In this paper we analyze the cohomological theory arising from such a structure. In particular, we consider Lie algebroids that are obtained by “matching” — in a specific technical sense that we shall recall in the body of the paper — a holomorphic Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}_1$ with the complex conjugate of another holomorphic Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}_2$. We call the structure obtained in this way a *skew-holomorphic Lie algebroid*. A particular case of this construction is presented in the paper [6], where $\mathcal{A}_2$ is assumed to be the holomorphic tangent bundle to X .

The cohomology theory of skew-holomorphic algebroids turns out to be quite rich. This paper is devoted to explore it. After recalling some basic definitions in Section 2, in Section 3 we review the notions of *representation of a Lie algebroid*, of *matched pair of Lie algebroids*, and introduce the new concepts of *almost complex structure on a Lie algebroid*, and of *skew-holomorphic Lie algebroid*. In Section 4 we give our main theorem about the cohomological structure of such Lie algebroids, and in the final Section 5 we provide some examples, basically related to various holomorphic Poisson cohomologies.

Acknowledgments. This paper has been mostly written while U.B. was visiting the Department of Physics of Rutgers University. He gratefully acknowledges the Department’s hospitality and warm welcome. The second author thanks LPTM of the Cergy-Pontoise University for hospitality during his CNRS delegation while the paper was prepared. Part of the results was presented by V.R. during the Conference “Differential Equations and Topology” in the Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. He

is thankful to the CNRS PICS project “Problèmes de Physique Mathématique” (France-Ukraine) for supporting his participation.

We thank Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Mathieu Stiénon for useful discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Lie algebroids. We start by recalling the notions of Lie algebroid and Lie algebroid cohomology. Let M be a smooth manifold, T_M its tangent bundle, and let $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ be the space of vector fields on M equipped with the usual Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

Definition 2.1. *An algebroid A over M is a vector bundle on M together with a vector bundle morphism $a: A \rightarrow T_M$ (called the anchor) and a structure of Lie algebra on the space of global sections $\Gamma(A)$, such that*

- (i) $a: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism;
- (ii) the following Leibniz rule holds true for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A)$ and every function f :

$$\{\alpha, f\beta\} = f\{\alpha, \beta\} + a(\alpha)(f)\beta$$

(we denote by $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ the bracket in $\Gamma(A)$).

The Lie algebroid A is said to be transitive if the anchor a is surjective.

Morphisms between two Lie algebroids (A, a) and (A', a') on the same base manifold M are defined in a natural way, i.e., they are vector bundle morphisms $\phi: A \rightarrow A'$ such that the map $\phi: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A')$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A' \\ & \searrow a & \downarrow a' \\ & & T_M \end{array}$$

commutes.

Example 2.2. An interesting example of a transitive Lie algebroid is the *Atiyah algebroid* of a vector bundle E on M . This is the bundle $\mathcal{D}(E)$ of the first-order differential operators on E with scalar symbol. The anchor $\sigma: \mathcal{D}(E) \rightarrow T_M$ is the symbol map. Moreover, $\ker(\sigma) \simeq \text{End}(E)$. $\triangle$

To any Lie algebroid A one can associate the cohomology complex $(C_A^\bullet, \delta)$, with $C_A^\bullet = \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*)$ and differential δ defined by [4]

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta\xi)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i-1} a(\alpha_i)(\xi(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_{p+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \xi(\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\}, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

if $\xi \in C_A^p$ and $\alpha_i \in \Gamma(A)$, $1 \leq i \leq p+1$. The resulting cohomology is denoted by $H^\bullet(A)$ and is called the cohomology of the Lie algebroid A .

A similar definition may given in the case of a *complex Lie algebroid*, where A is a complex vector bundle, and T_M is replaced by its complexification $T_M \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Analogously, one has a notion of *holomorphic Lie algebroid* on a complex manifold X , where A is a holomorphic vector bundle (that we shall denote by $\mathcal{A}$), T_M is replaced by the holomorphic tangent bundle Θ_X , and one requires that $\mathcal{A}$ has a structure of sheaf of Lie algebras, satisfying a suitable Leibniz rule.

3. SKEW-HOLOMORPHIC LIE ALGEBROIDS

We shall need some results on the cohomology of holomorphic Lie algebroids. The following theory generalizes the construction given in [6]. Even though we shall not need this theory in its full generality, it seems reasonable to expound it in that form.

Let X be an n -dimensional compact complex manifold. We shall denote by Θ_X its holomorphic tangent bundle and by T_X its tangent bundle when X is regarded as a $2n$ -dimensional smooth differentiable manifold. Ω_X^i will denote the bundle of holomorphic i -forms on X .

3.1. Almost complex Lie algebroids. There is a very natural way of extending the notion of almost complex manifold to that of almost complex Lie algebroid (this generalizes the notion of almost complex Poisson manifold given in the paper [3], to which we refer the reader for examples). Let M be an almost complex manifold, with almost complex structure $J_M: T_M \rightarrow T_M$.

Definition 3.1. *An almost complex structure J_A on a real Lie algebroid $A \xrightarrow{a} T_M$ is a vector bundle endomorphism $J_A: A \rightarrow A$ such that $J_A^2 = -\text{id}_A$, and $J_M \circ a = a \circ J_A$.*

As usual we have a splitting

$$A \otimes \mathbb{C} = A^{1,0} \oplus A^{0,1}$$

according to the eigenvalues $\pm i$ of J_A . We shall set

$$\lambda_A^{p,q} = \Lambda^p(A^*)^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda^q(A^*)^{0,1}.$$

We set $C_A^{p,q} = \Gamma(\lambda_A^{p,q})$; the differential d_A of the complex $C_A^\bullet = \bigoplus_{p,q} C_A^{p,q}$ splits into

$$d_A = \partial'_A + \partial_A + \bar{\partial}_A + \partial''_A$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \partial'_A: C_A^{p,q} &\rightarrow C_A^{p+2,q-1}, & \partial_A: C_A^{p,q} &\rightarrow C_A^{p+1,q}, \\ \bar{\partial}_A: C_A^{p,q} &\rightarrow C_A^{p,q+1}, & \partial''_A: C_A^{p,q} &\rightarrow C_A^{p-1,q+2} \end{aligned}$$

and the identities

$$\partial_A^2 = [\partial'_A, \bar{\partial}_A], \quad \bar{\partial}_A^2 = [\partial''_A, \partial_A], \quad [\partial_A, \partial'_A] + [\partial_A, \bar{\partial}_A] = 0$$

$$(\partial'_A)^2 = (\partial''_A)^2 = [\partial_A, \partial'_A] = [\bar{\partial}_A, \partial''_A] = 0$$

hold. The differential complex $(C_A^\bullet, d_A)$ admits a (regular) filtration

$$F_p C_A^k = \bigoplus_{\substack{r+s=k \\ r \geq p-k}} C_A^{r,s}. \quad (3)$$

A straightforward generalization of the analysis performed in [8] shows the following.

Proposition 3.2. *The spectral sequence associated with the filtration (3) of the differential complex $(C_A^\bullet, d_A)$ converges to the complexified cohomology of the Lie algebroid A , i.e., to the cohomology $H^\bullet(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.*

Now let X be an n -dimensional complex manifold.

Definition 3.3. *An almost complex structure on a Lie algebroid A on X is said to be integrable if there exists a holomorphic Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ such that*

- (i) $A^{1,0} \simeq \mathcal{C}_X^\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{A}$ as sheaves of $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty$ -modules;
- (ii) under this isomorphism, the bracket of $A \otimes \mathbb{C}$ restricts to the bracket of $\mathcal{A}$;
- (iii) the anchor $a: A \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T_X^\mathbb{C}$ coincides with $\tilde{a}$ on $\mathcal{A}$.

In this case, we shall call $\mathcal{A}$ the *holomorphic structure* of A . Note that the Lie algebroid differentials d_A of A and ∂_A of $\mathcal{A}$ are related by

$$d_A^{1,0}(f \otimes \xi) = f \otimes \partial_A \xi + a^*(\partial f) \wedge \xi$$

$$d_A^{0,1}(f \otimes \xi) = a^*(\bar{\partial} f) \wedge \xi$$

if f is a smooth function, and $\xi \in \Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{A}^*$.

The integrability of an almost complex structure J_A on a Lie algebroid can as usual be detected by using a suitable Nijenhuis tensor. One defines an element $N_A \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2(A^*) \otimes A)$

$$N_A(\alpha, \beta) = \{\alpha, \beta\} + J_A\{J_A\alpha, \beta\} + \{\alpha, J_A\beta\} - \{J_A\alpha, \beta\}$$

and shows that J_A is integrable if and only if $N_A = 0$.

Assuming that A admits a complex structure $\mathcal{A}$, let $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^k = \Lambda^k \mathcal{A}^*$ and denote by $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ the differential of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$. So we have a complex of sheaves on X

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^0 \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathcal{A}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^1 \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathcal{A}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^2 \dots \quad (4)$$

Let $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$, d_A be the differentials of the Lie algebroids $\mathcal{A}$ and A , and set $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet = \Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{A}^*$. We have an injection $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Lambda^\bullet A^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3.4. *If A admits a holomorphic structure $\mathcal{A}$, then d_A restricts to ∂_A on $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet$.*

Proof. If f is a holomorphic function, and $\alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$,

$$d_A(f)(1 \otimes \alpha) = a(1 \otimes \alpha)(f) = \tilde{a}(\alpha)(f) = \partial_A(f)(\alpha)$$

so that the claim is true in degree zero. If $\xi \in \Gamma(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_A(1 \otimes \xi)(1 \otimes \alpha, 1 \otimes \beta) &= \tilde{a}(\alpha)(\xi(\beta)) - \tilde{a}(\beta)(\xi(\alpha)) - \xi(\{\alpha, \beta\}) \\ &= (1 \otimes \partial_A \xi)(1 \otimes \alpha, 1 \otimes \beta) \end{aligned}$$

so that the claim is true in degree 1 as well. By the Leibniz formula one concludes. $\square$

3.2. The tangential complex of a regular holomorphic Lie algebroid. One says that a holomorphic Lie algebroid $a: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Theta_X$ is *regular* if the anchor a has constant rank all over X . In this case the image $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ in Θ_X is an involutive holomorphic subbundle of Θ_X , which is pointwise tangential to a regular holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ in X . The differential ∂_A of the sheaf complex $\Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{A}^*$ restricts to a differential $\partial_{\mathcal{D}}: \Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{D}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^{\bullet+1} \mathcal{D}^*$.

Proposition 3.5. (i) *The kernel of $\partial_{\mathcal{D}}: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^*$ is the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of holomorphic functions on X that are locally constant along the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$;*
(ii) *the sheaf complex $(\Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{D}^*, \partial_{\mathcal{D}})$ is exact in positive degree;*
(iii) *there is an isomorphism $H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{D}^*)$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

Here $\mathbb{H}^\bullet$ denotes the hypercohomology functor.

Proof. Let $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet$ be the complex obtained by modding out the holomorphic de Rham complex $(\Omega_X^\bullet, \partial)$ by the kernel of the adjoint a^* of the anchor map. For every k , we call $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^k$ the sheaf of $\mathcal{F}$ -foliated holomorphic differential forms on X . There is a “foliated” ∂ -operator $\partial_{\mathcal{F}}: \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet+1}$, and it turns out that the adjoint of the anchor establishes an isomorphism of complexes $(\Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{D}^*, \partial_{\mathcal{D}}) \simeq (\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet, \partial_{\mathcal{F}})$. We can therefore show the exactness of the complex $(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet, \partial_{\mathcal{F}})$ (in positive degree). We can also introduce the sheaves $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{p,q}$ of smooth $\mathcal{F}$ -foliated differential forms on X that are of Hodge type (p, q) (in the usual sense). We have a differential $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}: \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet,\bullet} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$.

Now, around every point of X there are holomorphic coordinates $(z^1, \dots, z^m, y^1, \dots, y^{n-m})$, where $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$, and $m = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}$, such that the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are given by $y^i = \text{const}$, and the z ’s are coordinates on the leaves. Since the exactness of the sheaf complex $(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet, \partial_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a local matter, we may assume that $X = Z \times Y$, while identifying the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ with the complex submanifolds $Z \times \{y\}$ for $y \in Y$. Now $(z^1, \dots, z^m)$ and $(y^1, \dots, y^{n-m})$ are local coordinates in Z and Y , respectively. Let us note that in these coordinates the sections of $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^k$ are written as

$$\eta = \sum \eta_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(z, y) dz^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{i_k}$$

while a section of $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{p,q}$ is written as

$$\tau = \sum \tau_{i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q}(z, \bar{z}, y) dz^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{i_p} \wedge d\bar{z}^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^{j_q}.$$

Claim (i) now follows. Moreover, it is now easy to show that the natural map $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is a resolution. A standard result in homological algebra (see, e.g., [14, Lemma 8.5]) shows that the complex $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex of smooth complex-valued $\mathcal{F}$ -foliated differential forms, and as the latter is exact [13, p. 215], the former is exact (in positive degree) as well.

This shows claim (ii). Claim (iii) is a straightforward consequence of the previous ones. $\square$

3.3. Representations of Lie algebroids. A representation of a Lie algebroid $A \xrightarrow{a} T_M$ on a vector bundle E is a Lie algebroid morphism $\nabla: A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(E)$, where $\mathcal{D}(E) \xrightarrow{\sigma} M$ is the Atiyah algebroid of E [12, 10]. Therefore, if α, s are sections of A and E , respectively, $\nabla(\alpha)$ acts on s ; we shall denote by $\nabla_\alpha s$ this action. The previous abstract definition means that ∇ satisfies the conditions

$$\nabla_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} = [\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\beta], \quad \sigma(\nabla(\alpha)) = a(\alpha).$$

When we have a representation of A on E , we say as usual that E is an A -module.

One can define a cohomology of the Lie algebroid with coefficients in E by considering the twisted complex $C_A^\bullet(E) = \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^* \otimes E^*)$ and defining a differential according to

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_E \xi)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}, s) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i-1} [a(\alpha_i)(\xi(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}, s)) \\ &\quad - \xi(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}, \nabla_{\alpha_i} s)] + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \xi(\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\}, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}, s) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

3.4. Matched pairs of Lie algebroids. We need the notion of matched pair of Lie algebroids [7, 9, 11, 5, 6]. We spell out the definition in the case of real algebroids but similar constructions may be done in the smooth complex or holomorphic cases. One says that A and B are a matched pair if A is a B -module, B is an A -module, and

$$[a(\alpha), b(\beta)] = -a(\nabla_\beta \alpha) + b(\nabla_\alpha \beta), \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha \{\beta_1, \beta_2\} = \{\nabla_\alpha \beta_1, \beta_2\} + \{\beta_1, \nabla_\alpha \beta_2\} + \nabla_{\nabla_{\beta_2} \alpha} \beta_1 - \nabla_{\nabla_{\beta_1} \alpha} \beta_2, \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla_\beta \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} = \{\nabla_\beta \alpha_1, \alpha_2\} + \{\alpha_1, \nabla_\beta \alpha_2\} + \nabla_{\nabla_{\alpha_2} \beta} \alpha_1 - \nabla_{\nabla_{\alpha_1} \beta} \alpha_2, \quad (8)$$

If A, B is are a matched pair of Lie algebroids, the direct sum $A \oplus B$ can be made into a Lie algebroid $A \bowtie B$ by defining its anchor c as $c(\alpha + \beta) = a(\alpha) + b(\beta)$ and a bracket as

$$\{\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_2 + \beta_2\} = (\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} + \nabla_{\beta_1} \alpha_2 - \nabla_{\beta_2} \alpha_1) + (\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} + \nabla_{\alpha_1} \beta_2 - \nabla_{\alpha_2} \beta_1).$$

When these conditions are satisfied, we may consider the cohomology of A with coefficients in the A -module $\Lambda^\bullet B^*$, and specularly, the cohomology of B with coefficients in the B -module $\Lambda^\bullet A^*$. The rather cumbersome conditions (6-8) may be neatly stated as the condition that the two differentials of these complexes anticommute [6]. Thus, in the case of a matching pair of Lie algebroids, we get a double complex. Moreover in [6] it is shown

that the cohomology of the total complex is isomorphic to the cohomology of the matched sum $A \bowtie B$ (with trivial coefficients).

3.5. Skew-holomorphic Lie algebroids. The authors of [6] show that any holomorphic Lie algebroid on a complex manifold X can be matched to the Lie algebroid $T_X^{0,1}$, and use that fact to develop a cohomological theory for holomorphic Lie algebroids. This can be generalized with very little extra cost to study complex Lie algebroids obtained by matching a holomorphic Lie algebroid with an anti-holomorphic one. We call this a *skew-holomorphic structure*. Of course this generalizes what happens for the complexified tangent bundle $T_{X,\mathbb{C}}$. In this section we develop some elements of the cohomology of this class of Lie algebroids.

Definition 3.6. *A complex Lie algebroid $A \xrightarrow{a} T_X^{\mathbb{C}}$ on a complex manifold X is said to have a skew-holomorphic structure if*

- (i) *there is a matched pair of Lie complex algebroids A_1, A_2 such that $A \simeq A_1 \bowtie A_2$;*
- (ii) *$A_1 \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty \otimes \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\bar{A}_2 \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ (as complex Lie algebroids) for some holomorphic Lie algebroids $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$.*

Note that these conditions imply that the anchors a_1, a_2 of A_1, A_2 satisfy $a_1(A_1) \subset T^{1,0}X$, $a_2(A_2) \subset T^{0,1}X$.

Remark 3.7. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a holomorphic Lie algebroid, then $A_1 = \mathcal{C}_X^\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{A}$ and $T_X^{0,1}$ are matched, and therefore one gets a complex Lie algebroid $A = A_1 \bowtie T_X^{0,1}$ with a skew-holomorphic structure. This produces the theory developed [6], which is thus a special case of ours. If in addition $\mathcal{A}$ is the holomorphic tangent bundle Θ_X , then A is the complexified smooth tangent bundle $T_X^{\mathbb{C}}$, and one gets de Rham theory. More generally, given a complex Lie algebroid $A = A_1 \bowtie A_2$ with a skew-holomorphic structure, the anchor $a_2: A_2 \rightarrow T^{0,1}X$ defines a morphism of complex Lie algebroids $A \rightarrow A_1 \bowtie T^{0,1}X$. This will in turn define a morphism $H^\bullet(A_1 \bowtie T^{0,1}X) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A)$. $\triangle$

4. LOCAL COHOMOLOGY OF SKEW-HOLOMORPHIC LIE ALGEBROIDS

Let A be a skew-holomorphic Lie algebroid on a complex manifold X , and let us consider the sheaves

$$\lambda_A^{p,q} = \Lambda^p A_1^* \otimes \Lambda^q A_2^*$$

with differentials

$$\partial_A: \lambda_A^{p,q} \rightarrow \lambda_A^{p+1,q}, \quad \bar{\partial}_A: \lambda_A^{p,q} \rightarrow \lambda_A^{p,q+1}.$$

Since the complex Lie algebroids A, A_2 are matched, $(\lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}, \partial_A, \bar{\partial}_A)$ is a double complex [6]. The following result is easily shown (see also [6], Proposition 4.6).

Proposition 4.1. *The cohomology of the Lie algebroid A is isomorphic to the cohomology of the total complex of the double complex $(\Gamma(\lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}), \partial_A, \bar{\partial}_A)$.*

Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the sheaf of holomorphic sections of A_1 . Moreover, we say that A_2 is *transitive* if $a_2: A_2 \rightarrow T_X^{0,1}$ is surjective.

Lemma 4.2. *If A has a skew-holomorphic structure, and A_2 is transitive, then $\ker[\lambda_A^{p,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_A} \lambda_A^{p,1}] \simeq \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^p$.*

Proof. If f is a function, we have $\bar{\partial}_A(f)(\alpha) = a_2(\alpha)(f)$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\lambda_A^{p,1})$; if $\bar{\partial}_A(f) = 0$ and a_2 is surjective, f is holomorphic. Let $\beta = \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes f_i$ be a section of $\lambda_A^{p,0}$. We may assume that the α_i are holomorphic (namely, they are sections of $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^p$). If $\bar{\partial}_A \beta = 0$ then $\sum_i \alpha_i \otimes \bar{\partial}_A f_i = 0$ which implies that the f_i are holomorphic. Then $\beta = \sum_i f_i \alpha_i \otimes 1$ is a section of $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^p$. $\square$

In general, without assuming that A_2 is transitive, let $\mathcal{K}^p = \ker[\lambda_A^{p,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_A} \lambda_A^{p,1}]$. Since $\bar{\partial}_A(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^0) = \bar{\partial}_A(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, and $A_1 \simeq \mathbb{C}^\infty \otimes \mathcal{A}$, we have an injection of complexes $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet$. We may picture the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \lambda_A^{k-1,0} & \longrightarrow & \lambda_A^{k-1,1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^k & \longrightarrow & \lambda_A^{k,0} & \longrightarrow & \lambda_A^{k,1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^{k+1} & \longrightarrow & \lambda_A^{k+1,0} & \longrightarrow & \lambda_A^{k+1,1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

Definition 4.3. We say that A satisfies the ∂_A -Poincaré lemma ($\bar{\partial}_A$ -Poincaré lemma, resp.) if for every p the sheaf complex $(\lambda_A^{\bullet,p}, \partial_A)$ ($(\lambda_A^{p,\bullet}, \bar{\partial}_A)$, resp.) is exact in positive degree.

Example 4.4. The complex Lie algebroids of Remark 3.7 satisfy the $\bar{\partial}_A$ -Poincaré lemma: this is just the exactness of the Dolbeault complex twisted by the holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, in this case A_2 is obviously transitive. $\triangle$

The following theorem describes the main cohomological features of a complex Lie algebroid with a skew-holomorphic structure.

Theorem 4.5. If A satisfies the $\bar{\partial}_A$ -Poincaré lemma, then

- (i) (generalized holomorphic de Rham theorem) there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{H}^p(X, \mathcal{K}^\bullet) \simeq H^p(A)$, where $\mathbb{H}^\bullet$ denotes hypercohomology.
- (ii) (generalized Dolbeault theorem) there are isomorphisms

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{K}^q) \simeq H^p(\Gamma(\lambda_A^{q,\bullet}), \bar{\partial}_A)$$

where $H^p(X, \mathcal{K}^q)$ denotes sheaf cohomology.

If moreover A satisfies the ∂_A -Poincaré lemma, then

- (iii) the sheaf complex $(\Lambda^\bullet A^*, d_A)$ is exact in positive degree (i.e., there is a Poincaré lemma for the differential d_A);
- (iv) (generalized de Rham theorem) there is an isomorphism $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}^\infty) \simeq H^p(A)$, where $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}^\infty)$ is sheaf cohomology, and $\mathcal{F}^\infty = \ker[\mathbb{C}_X^\infty \xrightarrow{d_A} A^*]$ is the sheaf of Casimir functions of A ;
- (v) the sheaf complex $(\mathcal{K}^\bullet, \partial_A)$ is exact in positive degree.

Finally, if additionally A_2 is transitive,

- (vi) there is an isomorphism $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^p(A)$, where $\mathcal{F} = \ker[\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\partial_A} \mathcal{A}^*]$ is the sheaf of Casimir functions of $\mathcal{A}$;
- (vii) if X is Stein, the cohomology groups $H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ (and therefore the groups $H^p(A)$) are isomorphic to the cohomology groups of the complex of global sections of $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet$.

Proof. (i) The E_1 term of the first spectral sequence of the double complex of sheaves $(\lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}, \partial_A, \bar{\partial}_A)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} E_1^{p,0} & \simeq \mathcal{K}^p \\ E_1^{p,q} & = 0 \quad \text{for } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

So the spectral sequence degenerates at the second step, and one has

$$\begin{cases} E_2^{p,0} & \simeq \mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{K}^\bullet) \\ E_2^{p,q} & = 0 \quad \text{for } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

This, together with Proposition 4.1, proves that the composition $\mathcal{K}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \lambda_A^{\bullet,0} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^\bullet A^*$ is a quasi-isomorphism between the complexes $(\Lambda^\bullet A^*, d_A)$ and $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$. Since the sheaves $\Lambda^\bullet A^*$ are fine, this yields point (i).

Point (ii) follows from the abstract de Rham theorem.

Point (iii) is obvious.

This also implies point (iv): $\Lambda^\bullet A^*$ is a (fine) resolution of $\mathcal{F}^\infty$, so that the abstract de Rham theorem yields the claim. Point (v) follows from (iii) and the quasi-isomorphism $(\Lambda^\bullet A^*, d_A) \simeq \mathcal{K}^\bullet$.

(vi) Since A_2 is transitive we have $\mathcal{K}^\bullet \simeq \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet$. On the other hand, by (v) the complex formed by $\mathcal{F}$ in degree zero is quasi-isomorphic to $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet$. Therefore,

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^p(X, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet) \simeq H^p(A).$$

(vii) Since the complex $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet$ is a resolution of $\mathcal{F}$, there is a spectral sequence whose second term is $E_2^{p,q} = H^q(H^p(X, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet), \partial_A)$, which converges to $H^\bullet(X, \mathcal{F})$. If X is Stein the only nonzero terms in the second term are $E_2^{0,q} = H^q(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet(X), \partial_A)$, whence the claim follows. $\square$

Remark 4.6. (i) Note that in points (i) to (v) of Theorem 4.5 we do not need to assume that A_2 is transitive.

(ii) If $A_2 = T^{0,1}X$ with $a_2 = \text{id}$ (see Remark 3.7), then $\mathcal{K}^\bullet \simeq \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet$, and point (i) of Theorem 4.5 yields the result in [6] (changing their statement “the cohomology of the complex $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet$ ” (which is zero in positive degree) into “the hypercohomology of the complex $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet$ ”).

(iii) If furthermore $A_1 = T^{1,0}X$, with $a_1 = \text{id}$, so that A is the complex de Rham algebroid, point (ii) of Theorem 4.5 is Dolbeault theorem, point (iv) is de Rham theorem, and point (i) is the holomorphic de Rham theorem, see [14]. $\triangle$

5. EXAMPLES

5.1. Holomorphic Poisson structures. This example has been already considered in [6], however we briefly describe it here for the sake of completeness. Let X be a complex manifold, and P a holomorphic Poisson tensor, so that the holomorphic cotangent bundle Ω_X^1 with the anchor $P: \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Theta_X$ is a holomorphic Lie algebroid. As already discussed, we can match this algebroid with the Lie algebroid naturally associated to the bundle $T_X^{0,1}$, getting a complex Lie algebroid with skew-holomorphic structure A . Theorem 4.5 and Lemma 4.2 yield the isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{H}^p(X, \mathcal{V}_P^\bullet) \simeq H^p(A), \quad H^p(X, \mathcal{V}_P^q) \simeq H^p(\Gamma(\lambda_A^{q,\bullet}), \bar{\partial}_A)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_P^\bullet$ is the sheaf complex of holomorphic multivector fields with the differential given by the Poisson tensor P . The first of these isomorphisms describes the relationship between the cohomology of a holomorphic Poisson manifold and the cohomology of the underlying smooth Poisson manifold.

If X is Stein, the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^\bullet(X, \mathcal{V}_P^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of the complex of global sections of $\mathcal{V}_P^\bullet$.

5.2. Holomorphic tangential Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology. Let P be a regular holomorphic Poisson tensor on a complex manifold X (i.e., the rank of the complex linear map $P_x: (\Theta_X^*)_x \rightarrow (\Theta_X)_x$ does not depend on x). Setting $\mathcal{A} = \Omega_X^1 / \ker P$ one gets an exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \ker P \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover the bracket defined by P on the local sections of Ω_X^1 descends to a bracket on $\mathcal{A}$, and one has a morphism $\tilde{a}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Theta_X$. This defines a holomorphic Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}} \Theta_X$ (this is of course a special case of the situation described in Section 3.2). We call the hypercohomology of the associated complex $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet$ the *holomorphic tangential Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology*. Let $A = [\mathcal{C}_X^\infty \otimes \mathcal{A}] \rtimes T_X^{0,1}$. Then A satisfies the $\bar{\partial}_A$ -Poincaré lemma, and A_2 is transitive. Moreover, by Proposition 3.5 A satisfies the ∂_A -Poincaré lemma, so that A satisfies all properties required in Theorem 4.5. We have therefore isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{H}^p(X, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet) \simeq H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^p(A).$$

If X is Stein, these groups also coincide with the groups $H^p(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet(X), \partial_A)$.

5.3. Skew-holomorphic Poisson structures. Let suppose that on a complex manifold X we have two holomorphic Poisson tensors P_1 and P_2 . The vector bundles $\Omega_X^{1,0}$ and $\Omega_X^{0,1}$, equipped with the brackets given by the Poisson tensor P_1 , and the complex conjugate Poisson tensor $\bar{P}_2$, respectively, give rise to complex Lie algebroids A_1, A_2 , with anchors $P_1: A_1 \rightarrow T_X^{1,0}, \bar{P}_2: A_2 \rightarrow T_X^{0,1}$. Each algebroid carries a representation of the other by letting

$$\nabla_\alpha \beta = \partial_{P_1(\alpha)}(\beta), \quad \nabla_\beta \alpha = \bar{\partial}_{\bar{P}_2(\beta)}(\alpha) \quad (9)$$

if $\alpha \in \Omega^{1,0}(X), \beta \in \Omega^{0,1}(X)$.

Proposition 5.1. *The Lie algebroids A_1 and A_2 , with the module structures given by equation (9), form a pair of matched Lie algebroids. The matched algebroid $A = A_1 \bowtie A_2$ is a Lie algebroid with skew-holomorphic structure, whose underlying vector bundle is the complexified smooth cotangent bundle of X .*

Proof. We need only to show that the matching conditions are satisfied. As we already noted, according to Proposition 4.5 of [6], this is tantamount to the commutativity of the differential ∂_1 of the Lie algebroid of A_1 twisted by $\Lambda^\bullet A_2^*$ with the differential ∂_2 of A_2 twisted by $\Lambda^\bullet A_1^*$. By slightly generalizing the formulas in Proposition 4.25 of [6], we can write

$$\partial_1(\mu \otimes \nu) = \llbracket P_1, \mu \rrbracket \otimes \nu + \sum_i (e_i \wedge \mu) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{P_1(e^{*i})} \nu$$

where $\mu \in \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A_1^*) = \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet T_X^{1,0})$, $\nu \in \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A_2^*) = \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet T_X^{0,1})$, $\{e_i\}$ is a local basis of sections of $T_X^{1,0}$, $\{e^{*i}\}$ is the dual basis, $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lie derivative, and $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ is the Schouten bracket. Analogously, we have

$$\partial_2(\mu \otimes \nu) = \mu \otimes \llbracket \bar{P}_2, \nu \rrbracket + \sum_i \mathcal{L}_{\bar{P}_2(f^{*i})} \mu \otimes (f_i \wedge \nu)$$

where $\{f_i\}$ is a local basis of sections of $T_X^{0,1}$, and $\{f^{*i}\}$ is the dual basis. Since both differentials obey a Leibniz rule, it is enough to verify their commutativity when μ is holomorphic, and ν is antiholomorphic. We thus obtain

$$\partial_1(\mu \otimes \nu) = \llbracket P_1, \mu \rrbracket \otimes \nu = d_1 \mu \otimes \nu$$

where d_1 is the differential of the (untwisted) Lichnerowicz-Poisson complex of P_1 . Analogously,

$$\partial_2(\mu \otimes \nu) = \mu \otimes \llbracket \bar{P}_2, \nu \rrbracket = \mu \otimes d_2 \nu$$

where d_2 is the differential of the Lichnerowicz-Poisson complex of $\bar{P}_2$. Since $d_1\mu$ is again holomorphic, and $d_2\nu$ is again antiholomorphic, we have

$$\partial_1\partial_2(\mu \otimes \nu) = d_1\mu \otimes d_2\nu = \partial_2\partial_1(\mu \otimes \nu).$$

□

This result strengthens the remark already done in [3], where it is noted that the Schouten bracket of P_1 and $\bar{P}_2$ vanishes, i.e., P_1 and $\bar{P}_2$ satisfy a bihamiltonian condition. However, as we discuss in [1], the matching pair condition is stronger than the bihamiltonian condition, and the former indeed implies the latter.

In this generality, the skew-holomorphic Lie algebroid A satisfies none of the conditions of Theorem 4.5. If the Poisson tensor P_2 is nondegenerate, it establishes a Lie algebroid isomorphism $\bar{P}_2: A_2 \rightarrow T_X^{0,1}$, where $T_X^{0,1}$ is given its standard Lie algebroid structure. Thus we recover the example of Section 5.1.

5.4. Skew-holomorphic tangential Poisson structures. We can mix the two previous examples considering two regular holomorphic Poisson tensors P_1, P_2 and matching the Lie algebroid obtained as in Section 5.2 from P_1 with the complex conjugate of the one obtained from P_2 . In this way we obtain a situation where the results (i) to (v) of Theorem 4.5 hold.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

The theory we have developed in this paper provides generalizations and simplifications of known results and their proofs ([6]). Moreover, quite natural applications of the cohomology theory we develop in this paper may be found in connection with pairs of holomorphic Poisson structures that satisfy some compatibility condition, as it happens for holomorphic bihamiltonian system [1]. One can therefore foresee applications to holomorphic integrable systems. More generally, one could envisage applications to the study of complex manifolds equipped with a pair of compatible, possibly singular, holomorphic foliations.

This cohomology theory can be used also to study a deformation theory for complex Lie algebroids, and a relation of deformation conditions with the above-mentioned compatibility conditions. Recently an example of this connection was proposed in the paper

[2], motivated by some natural questions of Classical Mechanics. Our constructions, and their natural generalizations to the “matched” algebroids of [2], their dual algebroids and symplectic realizations of the matched co-algebroids, may provide a useful toolbox and a transparent language to study the “internal” deformations and the compatibility conditions in the holomorphic case.

REFERENCES

- [1] U. BRUZZO AND V. RUBTSOV, *On compatibility of Lie algebroid structures*. 2010 in preparation.
- [2] J. F. CARÍÑENA, J. M. NUÑES DA COSTA AND P. SANTOS, *Internal deformation of Lie algebroids and symplectic realizations*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., **39** (2006), pp. 6897–6918.
- [3] L. A. CORDERO, M. FERNÁNDEZ, R. IBÁÑEZ, AND L. UGARTE, *Almost complex Poisson manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., **18** (2000), pp. 265–290.
- [4] S. EVENS, J.-H. LU, AND A. WEINSTEIN, *Transverse measures, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, **50** (1999), pp. 417–436.
- [5] J. HUEBSCHMANN, *Differential Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras arising from twilled Lie-Rinehart algebras*, in Poisson geometry (Warsaw, 1998), vol. 51 of Banach Center Publ., Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2000, pp. 87–102.
- [6] C. LAURENT-GENGOUX, M. STIÉNON, AND P. XU, *Holomorphic Poisson manifolds and holomorphic Lie algebroids*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2008), pp. Art. ID rnn 088, 46.
- [7] J.-H. LU, *Poisson homogeneous spaces and Lie algebroids associated to Poisson actions*, Duke Math. J., **86** (1997), pp. 261–304.
- [8] V. LYCHAGIN AND V. RUBTSOV, *Non-holonomic filtration: algebraic and geometric aspects of non-integrability*, in Geometry in partial differential equations, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994, pp. 189–214.
- [9] K. C. H. MACKENZIE, *Drinfel’d doubles and Ehresmann doubles for Lie algebroids and Lie bialgebroids*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc., **4** (1998), pp. 74–87 (electronic).
- [10] ———, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, vol. 213 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [11] T. MOKRI, *Matched pairs of Lie algebroids*, Glasgow Math. J., **39** (1997), pp. 167–181.
- [12] V. RUBTSOV, *Cohomology of Der-complex*, Russian Math. Surv., **35** (1980), pp. 190–191.
- [13] I. VAISMAN, *Cohomology and differential forms*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York 1973. Pure and Applied Mathematics vol. 21.
- [14] C. VOISIN, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I*, vol. 76 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, english ed., 2007.